Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/845,904	MOREL, NOEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Geoffrey L. Knable	1733
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Geoffrey L. Knable</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Alan Kopecki</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 April 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne-examiner-will-provide-a-writt	en summary of the substance
Alloy L. herte		
(Examiner SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed applicant's representative that the March 24, 2004 amendment placed this application into condition for allowance and that notice to this effect would be sent shortly. Applicant's representative was also informed that the obvious typographical error in claim 1, line 6 (i.e. "lest" to "least") would be corrected by examiner's amendment.